

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/517,203	12/08/2004	Michele Muccini	39714/GM/1p	1723
75	90 05/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Modiano & Associati Via Meravigli 16			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
Milano, 2012			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ITALY			1625	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/517,203	MUCCINI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 9-14 and 17-22 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11,17 and 18</u> is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-14 and 19-22</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
/-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Attachmar*	(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum_{\text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)}}\) 4) \(\sum_{\text{Interview Summary (PTO-413)}}\)							
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,203

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. According to paper filed on Feb. 21, 2006, the applicants have canceled claims 15 and 16; amended claims 9, 10 and 12 and furthermore, have added new claims 17-22.

2. Claims 9-14 and 17-22 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on Feb. 21, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding some indefiniteness rejections of instant claims 12-14 and prior art rejections of instant claim 14. The applicants have amended claims to overcome indefiniteness rejections as well as prior art rejections of instant claims 9-11. In regard to indefiniteness rejections of instant claims 12-14, the examiner does not agree with the applicant's arguments that the typology of the substrate used does not influence the formation of the thin film and therefore, no need to mention specific substrates. The applicants need to mention specific substrates in the claim which are supported by the instant specification. In regard to claim 14, it is not clear what is being heated? Is the thin film present on some substrate? In regard to prior art rejection of claim 14, the examiner does not agree with the applicants arguments that Braun does not anticipate the instant claim 14. Braun teaches preparing amorphous films from fraction 1 which contains facial Alq3 prepared by heating meridianal Alq3 at about 400 degree (see page 9626, last paragraph).

Conclusion

4. Rejection of claims 12-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is maintained for the reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,203 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

5. Rejection of claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Braun's reference is maintained for the reasons of record.

6. Claims 9-11, 17 and 18 are allowed.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 19 and 21, the terms ---electroluminescent device and electroactive devices---are indefinite since specific devices are not defined which are supported by the instant specification and furthermore, the steps for preparing such devices are missing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Mori (Chem. Abst, cited on applicants form 1449).

Mori discloses use of facial-rich Alq3 as an electroluminescent in electron transport layer and large-area display devices and therefore, clearly anticipates the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,203 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braun (J. of Chem. Phys., cited on applicants form 1449).

Braun discloses a new crystalline phase of Alq3, designated as delta-Alq3 consisting of facial isomer which is characterized by a blueshift of the fluorescence (see conclusion, last paragraph on page 9631). Braun meets all the limitations except it does not disclose using delta-Alq3 in electroluminescent devices or electroactive devices suitable for charge transport etc. However, Braun teaches well known use of Alq3 in electroluminescent devices such as OLEDs and as an electron transport layer etc. (see introduction, first paragraph). Therefore, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to use the new crystalline phase of Alq3 containing facial isomer since Braun teaches that after more than 10 years of intense research and development of OLEDs.

Art Unit: 1625

Alq3 still continues to be the workhorse in low-molecular weight materials for OLEDs (see first paragraph in introduction).

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie can be reached on (571)272-0670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,203 Page 6

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625